Market Authority Index

Home, Interior and Lifestyle

Sector Executive Summary

Q2 2026 | 18 brands in this sector out of a total of 131 Assessed brands.

Average Market Authority Index: 70.3/100

Median: 73.5 | Certification rate: 83.3%

(achieve a score of 65 or above)

17 July 2026

Sector Executive Summary

Prepared by Digital Scorecard

Sector Overview

Home, Interior and Lifestyle is one of the highest-performing sectors in the Q2 2026 Market Authority Index benchmark, scoring 70.3 on average against a cross-sector portfolio average of 64.1. The sector's median score of 73.5 and certification rate of 83.3% are both among the highest of any sector in the benchmark, meaning the vast majority of assessed home brands meet or exceed the certification threshold.

For buyers, this means home and interior brands are generally easier to evaluate and trust than brands in most other sectors. In a category where purchases are high-value, high-consideration, and often one-off, the ability to find credible, well-evidenced brands matters. When a buyer researches a kitchen, compares furniture options, or asks an AI recommendation engine for guidance on bedding or home textiles, the brands with higher Market Authority Index scores are more likely to surface, present credible evidence, and convert that discovery into a purchase.

The sector covers 18 brands spanning mass market home retail, premium design furniture, bed linen and bedding, home textiles, kitchen and tableware, and craft and artisan design. Business models range from wholesale and trade to direct-to-consumer e-commerce with physical showrooms.

Where Home Brands Are Strong

Home brands outperform the portfolio average on three of the four Human Authority sub-pillars, with particularly strong scores in Trust Infrastructure and Strategic Positioning.

Pillar	Home, Interior and Lifestyle (/25)	Portfolio Average (/25)
Strategic Positioning	19.6	18.7
Proof and Validation	13.8	11.8
Trust Infrastructure	19.1	16.7
Market Presence	15.9	14.9

Trust Infrastructure at 19.1 out of 25 is notably strong, reflecting the sector's investment in secure, well-structured digital experiences. This is important in a category where buyers research extensively before committing to high-value purchases.

The sector also benefits from high Structural Confidence, averaging 79.1 compared to the portfolio average of 70.1. This reflects the maturity and stability of home and interior brands, many of which have decades of operating history.

Sector Leaders

Three brands achieve Gold certification (score of 80 or above):

Brand	Market Authority Index	Subsector
IKEA Sweden	84	Mass Market Home Retail
String Furniture	83	Premium Design Furniture
Cervera	81	Mass Market Home Retail

What these brands have in common is not size or price point but consistency across both Human Authority and Structural Confidence. IKEA Sweden, the sector leader at 84, scores above 18 on every sub-pillar including Proof and Validation (18 out of 25), demonstrating that even mass-market brands can build strong proof infrastructure. String Furniture achieves the highest Human Authority score in the sector at 85, showing that premium design brands with focused product lines can outperform much larger competitors on authority.

A further eight brands hold Silver certification (70 to 79): Vitsoe, Care of Beds, Juniper, Bed of Scandinavia, Skultuna, Skultuna 1607, Ekelund, and Vavaren i Bastad. Together with the three Gold brands, the eleven certified brands scoring 70 or above represent the sector's competitive core.

Where the Gap Remains

Proof and Validation remains the weakest pillar at 13.8 out of 25, with a standard deviation of 3.8. While this is above the portfolio average of 11.8, the variance tells the real story. The sector's Proof and Validation leaders demonstrate what is possible:

Brand	Proof and Validation (/25)	Market Authority Index
Care of Beds	19	78
IKEA Sweden	18	84
Ekelund	17	73
Skultuna	15	74
Vitsoe	14	79

At the other end, some brands score below 10 on Proof and Validation despite strong scores on other pillars, creating a gap of more than 10 points between the strongest and weakest performers in the sector.

This gap matters because home and interior purchases are among the most researched consumer decisions. Buyers spend weeks comparing options for kitchens, furniture, and bedding. They seek evidence that a product will deliver on its promise, not just that it looks appealing. As AI-driven recommendation and discovery channels increasingly prioritise verified, structured evidence over brand claims, the brands with strong proof infrastructure will be recommended more consistently.

Score Distribution for Home, Interior and Lifestyle

Band	Score Range	Brands
Exceptional	80-100	3
Strong	70-79	8
Developing	60-69	4
Emerging	40-59	3

No brands in the sector triggered the Structural Confidence cap, and no brands fall into the At Risk band. The sector's high Structural Confidence average of 79.1 means that most home brands maintain sound structural foundations, even when their authority scores vary.

What This Means for Home Brands

The sector's strength in Trust Infrastructure and Strategic Positioning means that home brands are, on average, better positioned than most sectors to be discovered and recommended as buyer channels evolve. But discovery is only the first step. A buyer who finds a brand still needs a reason to trust it and evidence to justify a high-value purchase.

The Proof and Validation gap is where competitive differentiation will increasingly be won or lost. Brands that score well on positioning but poorly on proof are visible but unconvincing. In a market where buyers can compare dozens of options in seconds, and where AI-driven recommendation engines prioritise verifiable evidence over marketing claims, the brands that can demonstrate real customer outcomes will convert at higher rates than those relying on narrative alone.

The Gold-certified brands in this sector show that it is possible to score highly across all four pillars. The question for every other home brand is whether their proof infrastructure matches their positioning ambition.

What Should Home Brands Do Next?

The data points to three practical priorities for home and interior brands looking to strengthen their Market Authority Index position:

1. Close the Proof and Validation gap. This is the single biggest opportunity in the sector. Home brands score 19.6 out of 25 on Strategic Positioning but only 13.8 on Proof and Validation. Most brands in this sector are excellent at communicating their design philosophy and product quality but significantly weaker at demonstrating it with structured evidence. Concrete actions: invest in verified customer reviews, build case studies around real outcomes (durability, satisfaction, lifestyle improvement), seek third-party endorsements from design publications or industry bodies, and make proof findable rather than buried. Care of Beds (19 out of 25) and IKEA Sweden (18 out of 25) show this is achievable.

2. Build for AI discovery, not just showroom experiences. The brands that score highest on Market Authority Index are not necessarily the largest retailers. They are the ones whose credibility is structured, verifiable, and machine-readable. As buyers increasingly discover products through AI recommendation engines, comparison tools, and conversational search, the brands that surface will be those with strong proof infrastructure, clear positioning, and consistent trust signals across every touchpoint. Home brands should audit their digital presence not just for how it looks to a human shopper, but for how it reads to an AI evaluating credibility.

3. Leverage the sector's structural strength. Home, Interior and Lifestyle has the highest average Structural Confidence (79.1) of any consumer sector in the benchmark. This is a genuine competitive advantage. Brands should communicate their longevity, governance quality, and financial stability as part of their trust narrative, particularly in a category where buyers are making significant financial commitments.

Assessed vs. Full Dataset Comparison

The 18 brands in this sector summary represent the Market Authority Index Assessed tier. To contextualise these results, this section compares Home, Interior and Lifestyle against the full Market Authority Index dataset of 695 scored brands across all sectors and all three scoring tiers.

Metric	Home Assessed (n=18)	Full Dataset (n=695)
Mean Market Authority Index	70.3	67.1
Standard Deviation	10.8	12.8
Median	73.5	70
Certification Rate (65+)	83.3%	63.9%

Home brands outperform the full dataset on every measure. The sector's certification rate of 83.3% is nearly 20 percentage points above the dataset-wide rate of 63.9%, meaning home brands are significantly more likely to achieve certification than the average brand in the benchmark. The lower standard deviation (10.8 vs 12.8) indicates that the sector is more consistently strong rather than having a few outliers pulling the average up.

Within the Home, Interior and Lifestyle sector itself, the full Sector Framework includes 88 brands across all three scoring tiers. The Assessed cohort's average of 70.3 is closely aligned with the Estimated tier (71.6), suggesting that the sector's strengths hold up under scrutiny from the full audit methodology.

About the Market Authority Index

What It Measures

The Market Authority Index measures how effectively a brand builds buyer-facing credibility and maintains sound structural foundations. It combines two components:

- **Human Authority (70% weight):** The strength of a brand's buyer-facing credibility, measured across four pillars: Strategic Positioning, Proof and Validation, Trust Infrastructure, and Market Presence. Each pillar is scored out of 25, for a maximum of 100. It is a measure of Market Visibility.
- **Structural Confidence (30% weight):** The soundness of a brand's corporate and operational foundations, including filing compliance, financial risk signals, governance stability, and operational longevity. It is a measure of business strength and durability.

Formula

Market Authority Index = (Human Authority x 0.70) + (Structural Confidence x 0.30)

Structural Confidence Cap: If a brand's Structural Confidence score is below 40/100 and regardless of its Human Authority score, the Market Authority Index is capped at 65 regardless of the formula result. This prevents structurally unsound but authority-rich brands from achieving misleadingly high composite scores.

Certification Tiers

Tier	Score Threshold
Gold	80 or above
Silver	70-79
Certified	65-69

Brands scoring below 65 are not certified but receive a full diagnostic assessment.

Assessment Methodology

All brands in this sector summary have been assessed using the full Market Authority Index methodology as defined in the Market Authority Standard (Version 1.1). This includes a complete Human Authority audit across all four sub-pillars, Structural Confidence verification using public filings and financial data, and scoring against the standardised framework.

The Market Authority Index is based entirely on publicly observable, buyer-facing information. It does not use self-reported data, and assessment is conducted independently of the brands being scored.

The Benchmark Dataset

This sector summary draws from the Q2 2026 benchmark portfolio of 138 fully assessed brands across 20 sectors. The full Market Authority Index dataset includes 695 brands scored across three tiers:

Tier	Brands	Average Score	Method
Assessed	131	64.7	Full audit methodology

Estimated	361	69.1	Structured signal analysis
Expected	203	65.0	Observable market signals

For the full cross-sector analysis, methodology details, and complete benchmark data, refer to the *Market Authority Index Benchmark Intelligence Report 2026, Q2 Edition*.

Explore all assessed Home, Interior and Lifestyle brands in the Market Authority Index Certified Directory here.

This report has been produced by Digital Scorecard. The Market Authority Index is a proprietary framework.

Citation: Nugent, E. (2025). The Market Authority Standard, Version 1.1. Digital Scorecard. https://digitalscorecard.com/market-authority-standard/

Report date: 17 July 2026
Data snapshot: Q2 2026
Sector portfolio: 18 brands